

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/679,769	MCCLAIN, JAMES S.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Albert H. Culler	2622

All Participants:

Status of Application: N/A

(1) Albert H. Culler.

(3) _____.

(2) Mark Klodfelter (Reg. 34,564).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 December 2007

Time: 3PM

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner proposed an amendment in which "light bolt" would be changed to "lag bolt". Mr. Kodfelter agreed to said proposed amendment.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

N. Vu

NGOC-YEN VU

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)